




# Supplemental Benefit Overview

Sept. 20, 2024

- The Supplemental Benefit Payment has not been utilized since 2000
- We'll walk through the process as follows:




## Past Supplemental Benefit Payments 1980-2000

- Ranged from \$13.1 million to \$50.4 million
- Averaging \$33.9 million
- Payments made in all 21 years
- Total payments of \$700 million amount to a \$10B impact on assets

### Examples of past payments:

FY ending June 30 (\$ in millions)	Net Gain (Loss)	Amount Paid
2000	\$2,108	\$50.4*
1999	\$2,221	\$48.5
1998	\$1,705	\$46.4
1997	\$1,111	\$44.9
1996	\$516	\$43.3

\*From the 2000 valuation report: “The board elected to use \$50.8 million to fund the supplemental benefit payments to retirees to be made during December 2000. The remainder [of the net gain] was used to reduce the unfunded liability”



- The supplemental benefit payment is authorized by statute which establishes the maximum amount
- Past boards used a decision framework to help determine the amount paid
- We'll update the framework and incorporate the Sustainable Benefits Plan

## Critical factors

(if any one is no, then stop)

1. Is at least de-minimis spending available?
2. Does the market return exceed the assumed rate of return?
3. Does the five-year average market return exceed the assumed rate of return by at least 50 bps?

## Example 2023

(illustrative only)

1. Yes, \$850 million de-minimis was available
2. Yes, 8.5% compared to 7.0% assumed
3. Yes, 7.9% compared to 7.0%

## **Additional factors**

**(if two or more are no, then stop)**

4. No new programs that need funding?
5. No existing programs or funds that require additional allocation?
6. Was the investment return for the first quarter of the current fiscal year at least positive?

## **Example 2023**

**(illustrative only)**

4. Yes, there are no new programs that require funding
5. Yes, there are no additional allocations required to be made to a fund or program
6. No, the first quarter return of fiscal 2024 was negative

# If Decision is Yes, Steps to Payment

## Step 1: Decide the benefit amount

- Start with calculation set in statute
- Reduce to be less than de-minimis if necessary
- Board decides final value

## Step 2: Calculate the unit value

## Step 3: Determine each individual's number of units

## Step 4: Determine each eligible payment

1. Benefit amount = board decision
2. Unit value = benefit amount ÷ total units, rounded down to the nearest dollar
3. Units = years of service + years receiving benefits\*
4. Payment = units × unit value

\*There are special rules for service retiree, disability recipient, beneficiary, etc.

# Example Benefit Calculation

## Step 1: Decide the benefit amount (board)

- Assume benefit amount = \$50 million (illustrative)

## Step 2: Calculate the unit value

- Assume 7 million total units
- Unit value =  $\$50,000,000 \div 7,000,000$ , rounded down to nearest dollar = \$7

## Steps 3 & 4: Determine each individual's number of units and eligible payment

- Roger — a member who retired with 34 years of service and has been retired for 21 years has 55 units and would receive a \$385 payment
- Donna — a disability recipient with 25 years of service and 10 years as a benefit recipient has 35 units and would receive a \$245 payment
- Jennifer — a joint and survivor annuitant of a member who retired 30 years ago with 30 years of service has 60 units and would receive a \$420 payment





# Questions?

This material is intended for use by the board of the State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio (STRS Ohio) and not by any other party. STRS Ohio makes no representations, guarantees, or warranties as to the accuracy, completeness, currency, or suitability of the information provided in this material. Nothing included herein is either a legal reference or a complete statement of the laws or administrative rules of STRS Ohio. In any conflict between the information provided herein and any applicable laws or administrative rules, the laws and administrative rules shall prevail. This material is not intended to provide tax, legal or investment advice. STRS Ohio disclaims any liability for any claims or damages that may result from reliance on this material or the information it contains, including any information obtained from third parties.



# COLA Comparison

Sept. 20, 2024

**At the August board meeting, the question was raised as to how OPERS includes a COLA benefit every year**

- The short answer is that by statute, COLA is automatic for OPERS where STRS Ohio must pass fiscal integrity before a benefit can be added
- For 2024, the OPERS COLA is
  - 3% for those with effective dates prior to January 2013;
  - 2.3% (calculated based on CPI-W with a max of 3%) for all others

**The longer answer is that no two pensions are exactly alike, and great care needs to be taken in comparing just one benefit**

# Comparison of STRS Ohio to OPERS



	STRS Ohio	OPERS
Pension Reform	Benefit changes phased in	Benefit tiers created
COLA	Must pass fiscal integrity	Automatic in statute
Average Benefit	\$46,400	\$31,500
DB Funded Ratio	81.3%	83.8%
DB Funding Period	11.2 years	15 years
Contributions / Total Benefits + Expenses	50%	52%
Life Expectancy (Female, 60)	28.7	27.3
Inactive Members eligible for refunds only	150,382 or 30%*	705,386 or 56%*
Active/Retired	1.1	1.3

\*Percentage of total members

## HB 413 proposes changes to OPERS COLA

- **WHY NOW?** OPERS is in a strong position to continue funding benefits for current retirees and for future generations. Since we cannot predict future events, we must proactively assess our fund and make these changes now to remain financially secure.
- **WHY MAKE CHANGES TO THE COLA?** Our retirees are living longer in retirement requiring us to pay benefits for many more years than in the past. More importantly they are spending more years in retirement than they did contributing to the system.

I retired before the pension changes you made in 2012 became effective. At that time, I thought I was promised a three percent COLA. How can you change it now?

- OPERS was founded in 1935. We don't currently offer the same level of benefits or services we did when we were founded. Times change, and OPERS must adapt to changes.
- OPERS has made changes to the COLA several times. From 1935 to 1970, OPERS did not have a COLA, and from 1970 on, we have made changes to the COLA based on changing circumstances, as we are considering now. That is why we are seeking your feedback now, due to our long-standing practice of proactive planning to ensure the long-term funding of our System.



# Questions?

This material is intended for use by the board of the State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio (STRS Ohio) and not by any other party. STRS Ohio makes no representations, guarantees, or warranties as to the accuracy, completeness, currency, or suitability of the information provided in this material. Nothing included herein is either a legal reference or a complete statement of the laws or administrative rules of STRS Ohio. In any conflict between the information provided herein and any applicable laws or administrative rules, the laws and administrative rules shall prevail. This material is not intended to provide tax, legal or investment advice. STRS Ohio disclaims any liability for any claims or damages that may result from reliance on this material or the information it contains, including any information obtained from third parties.

# Appendix: Preservation of Purchasing Power

- Approximation of how much purchasing power would be preserved by the COLA structure of various systems, over a period from 1984-2023 and over a period from 2004-2023
- California has a specific (separately funded) mechanism to maintain purchasing power of at least 85%
- Plans with ad-hoc COLAs are not shown
  - The actual historical COLAs paid by STRS Ohio over this period would have preserved 84% of purchasing power over 20 years, and 65% over 40 years

Plan	20 years	40 years
Social Security	100%	100%
California	>=85%	85%
Illinois, Tier 1	109%	103%
Illinois, Tier 2	77%	55%
Missouri	82%	65%
Nevada, Tier 1	88%	84%
Nevada, Tier 3	85%	77%
Georgia	91%	80%
New York	68%	42%
Simple 2%	84%	59%
Simple 3%	96%	72%
No protection	60%	33%



# Appendix: Sources



	STRS Ohio	OPERS
Pension Reform	<a href="http://www.strsoh.org/news/ongoing/strengthening-the-financial-condition-of-your-retirement-system.html">www.strsoh.org/news/ongoing/strengthening-the-financial-condition-of-your-retirement-system.html</a>	<a href="http://www.ohiojudges.org/Document.ashx?DocGuid=bdebdc20-0db3-48e8-9e38-0fe2beec5a44">www.ohiojudges.org/Document.ashx?DocGuid=bdebdc20-0db3-48e8-9e38-0fe2beec5a44</a>
COLA	ORC 3307.67	<a href="https://perspective.opers.org/opers-announces-cola-for-2025/">https://perspective.opers.org/opers-announces-cola-for-2025/</a>
Average Benefit	2023 ACFR p 85	2023 ACFR p 138
2023 Funded Ratio	2023 ACFR p 83	2023 ACFR p 25
2023 Funding Period	2023 ACFR p 83	2023 ACFR p 38
Contributions / Total Benefits + Expenses	2023 ACFR p 11	2023 ACFR p 24
Life Expectancy (60 F)	<a href="http://www.strsoh.org/_pdfs/brochures/15-126.pdf">www.strsoh.org/_pdfs/brochures/15-126.pdf</a> , p 25	2022 Act Val p X-12
Inactive Members eligible for refunds only	2023 ACFR p 20	2023 ACFR p 33
Active/Retired	Internal STRS Ohio statistics	2023 Act Val p I-11